

M I N U T E S

Sewer Authority Mid-Coastside

Meeting of December 4, 1978

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, Mr. Leger

BOARD OF DIRECTORS ROLL CALL:

PRESENT: Leger, Adreveno, Heaslet, Shaw. Damer & Morrall arrived late
(10 & 3 minutes) because of conflict with GSD meeting.

ABSENT: None

STAFF PRESENT: Manager Mortensen, Treasurer Volken, and Attorney Copeland

Others present included Armen Anooshian (Engineering Consultant) and Phil Karpain (HMB City Engineer).

MINUTES APPROVED: The minutes of the meeting of October 2, 1978, and the special meeting of November 13, 1978 were approved as submitted.

CONSENT CALENDAR:

A. For Approval:

1. HMB - Statement for Services and Partial Detail of Administrative Expenses for month of Septemeber 1978
2. HMB - Statement for Services and Partial Detail of Administrative Expenses for month of October 1978

B. For Information:

1. Office of Water Recycling (10/6/78) - Bulletin
2. SWRCB (Bulletin #68A) - Final Regulations Resulting from the Clean Water Act of 1977 - User Charge & Industrial Cost Recovery Requirements
3. SWRCB (Bulletin #73) - Funding for Innovative and Alternative Projects
4. SWRCB (Bulletin #74) - Procedure for Expediting Appeals of Staff Decisions Regarding Technical Design Issues
5. SWRCB (Bulletin #75) - Grant Funding Policies on Odor Control, Landscaping, Architectural Features and Reliability Features
6. SWRCB (11/22/78) - Training Workshop
7. SAM (10/26/78) - Information Re: State Parks & Recreation Plans
8. CA Parks & Recreation (11/24/78) - Reply to SAM ltr of 10/26/78
9. SAM (10/20/78) - SAM Application for Plan A
10. CCZCC (11/13/78) - Reply to Craig Porter Re: Project

Heaslet moved, and it was seconded by Adreveno, that the Consent Calendar for Approval be adopted. The affirmative vote was unanimous with the GSD Representatives not yet present.

Heaslet moved, and it was seconded by Shaw, that the Consent Calendar for Information be adopted. The affirmative vote was unanimous with Damer not yet present.

WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS:

- A. CCZCC (11/21/78) - Acceptance of Preliminary Application of 10/20/78 -
Manager Mortensen noted that this application was no longer as im-

portant to SAM because of recent developments that would be further discussed under STAFF REPORTS.

B. Wm. Swanberg (11/21/78) - Request for Favorable Consideration of 1.3 MGD Plant (noted in error on Agenda as "Request for Information")

- After discussion of this letter and enclosures thereto, Heaslet suggested SAM acknowledge receipt, question the potential savings he had noted, and invite him to a meeting to discuss his assertions. Manager Mortensen proposed an alternative of inviting him to speak with the SAM staff on these points, but Morrall favored making any response public. The Manager noted that the HMB Review was running a story on Mr. Swanberg's concerns. Adreveno and Damer agreed that the invitation to Mr. Swanberg to discuss his findings at a public meeting was the preferable of the alternatives suggested so far.

Heaslet suggested having SAM reply in an open letter in the HMB Review to some of his points, and strongly request him to attend a meeting for discussion.

Heaslet moved his suggestion above be adopted, and it was seconded by Damer. After a brief discussion of the damaging potentials of outdated and inaccurate information, the affirmative vote was unanimous.

STAFF REPORTS:

- A. Conditions of Phased Project - The Manager reviewed the results of the November 30, 1978 interagency meeting and subsequent phone calls with agency representatives. The State desired assurances that once funding was started, the project would continue to completion, and conditions are being further drafted to include this concern. He noted that Neil Dunham (SWRCB) wanted SAM to consider salvage and use of the old diffuser line on the new outfall, and that he had directed the engineers to do so. He further noted that Mr. Dunham had asked about reclamation contracts re: condition 1 of the draft conditions; the Manager had informed him he'd thought the contract with the golf course and letters of intent from flower growers had answered that concern. The Manager felt this might be a problem, noting as well another possible contract with an open field irrigation user. Anoshian reviewed recent legislation emphasizing reclaimed water use.

The Manager noted re: condition 2 of the draft conditions that the State was no longer concerned with how many plants were to be in the project, as long as the total cost was not increased over the original project. He mentioned the State's position that if the conveyance lines were built to accomodate raw sewage, and the final project used them to convey secondary sewage, SAM would have to reimburse the State for the extra expenditures.

Concerning condition 3 of the draft conditions, Jim Tjvold (RWQCB) had expressed hopes that SAM could create a new project schedule as soon as possible. After a discussion of the times various items would take, Leger expressed his feeling that the engineers and the manager should perform the task for Board review.

After a review of some potential schedule dates, the Manager handed out copies of SWRCB Bulletin #58 (Implementation of Guidelines for Managing Construction) (previously reviewed as item X.C. of 11/28/77)

agenda), and briefly explained some of the effects of these rules. Anoshian stated the Construction Management Plan had to be approved before the start of construction, noting that this would include financing of the project. This was the one aspect of the Construction Management Plan the engineers couldn't perform.

Karpain, in reply to a question by Chairman Leger, noted that work had already started on CCZCC applications for the outfall/reclamation lines and the conveyance line. He felt the only holdup might be if the EPA felt it had to make another Negative Declaration (no further EIR was required). He suggested the CCZCC applications be separated into three applications to prevent possible complications with the reclamation line from causing critical delays. The Board assented.

Attorney Copeland asked if the local Negative Declarations might need updating; the Manager felt this was not necessary until SAM was decided on pursuing Plan A (and committed to same).

The Manager next brought up a few last points concerning further work. First, he asked if SAM would need to renegotiate its engineering contract for work it presently proposed. He mentioned some concerns with possible requirements for a pre-discharge monitoring program, noting Fred Dierker's office (RWQCB) would be recommending minimization of this; it would be funded but still expensive. He stated he had asked Jim Tjstvold to write up the interagency meetings and other conversations so they might be documented, and Mr. Tjstvold had agreed.

Lastly he mentioned the State's request that SAM reply with its feelings on the draft conditions as presented. Damer reviewed the basics of the court order that might be used to assure the State that after funding had started, the project would continue to completion. The Manager was directed to reply to the request above.

NEW BUSINESS:


- A. Meeting Date for Regular December Meeting - Staff noted it had been tentatively set for December 26. After discussion of what might be more appropriate in light of the CCZCC applications' timely submittal, the meeting was set for Wednesday, December 20, 1978, at a place to be determined by staff.

ALLOWANCE FOR CLAIMS:


Treasurer Volken presented vouchers for payment in the amount of \$1184.20 Damer moved, and it was seconded by Heaslet, that the vouchers be approved for payment. The affirmative vote was unanimous.

ADJOURNMENT - The December 4, 1978 meeting of the Sewer Authority Mid-Coast-side was adjourned at 10:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,


Robert W. Terkelson
Administrative Ass't

APPROVED:


Nick Damer
Secretary